

XX42-22941 7 3 July 1964. Rouhani & Helms regarding translation of Spanish doc. pertaining to Oswald's stay in Mexico. Also includes FBI report of Helms relating a alleged statement by Helms' source.

X 442 - 27232 73 July 1968. letters from Rounding Times -
mentions State Dept makes a quarter a Sweet
rice procedures, say. as applicable to Sweet rice.

- X 112-27221 of 9 July 1964. HOD by Mr. Wiggins: Discussion with W. David Flannery re question of Soviet policy towards Russian wives of Americans & visa procedures. (Was our info inconsistent with State's info?), Our reply had expressed to spouses leaving with husbands, State had dealt with larger question of wives' departures.

X442-22812 17 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. relatives to CIA director. 11 Oct. 1963 a case of the wife in report. Shown said that the Communism in women not reproduce at text but refer its substance. Earlier discussion on this (20 July) had taken place with Kohlman, who had talked with Hall.)

X 442 - 17623 of 22 July 1964. Holmes & Ranshin, trans-
mitted 2 obs. 1 consultation. Requested by Ranshin
in letter of 3 July.

XAAZ-27209 210 August 1964: Met by Sherry & wife on 27 July, talks with AWD, Roubin & Sherson re defecter info handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "Use of H. Research info in Waver Room. Report" - AWD, Roubin, Sherson, Helms, Mangos, Douglas. Report given agreement by that

✓✓

Sergius

— 24 —

XAAZ-27249 contd. This report
is very lengthy
and

non-inclusion of N. info. Review SB concept of
H from field problem. Draft of a general
reference to defects info. established out and
addressed

② NA: one of these papers refers to a British lab.
machine who had mentioned LHO. See the letterhead

XAAZ-22773 of 24 Aug 1964: Request from Warren
Comm. (Korbin & Helms) for permission to
publish list 2 docs. as author. in "Probing
Foreign Conspiracy" section of its report.
Asks for a letter approval.

XAAZ-22855 of 27 Aug 1964. MRR by Hurd & Sharkey
on Pres. Fr. Com. Section 2 Report / letter gist
of selected para & wording

XAAZ-22429 of 28 Aug 1964: Comment on Konstantin Petrov
204. Legation, within & Great Embassy, Moscow [29 July 1964].

XAAZ-22800 of 31 Aug 64. Helms & Korbin: Extract
from memo of same title date 19 Feb 1964
sent to Comm.

XAAZ-22774 of 1 Sept 1964: Korbin & Helms. Request
- handwritten description of activities on LHO before
visa application, all from Cuban govt. State
translations. Also in case.

XAAZ-22775 of 3 Sept 1964: Recommendations for
copy to Korbin

XAAZ-22939 of 10 Sept 64: checking out of State
translations of LHO application May. for info and reply
by Bureau 15 Oct, plus Cuban consular comment

XAAZ-22776 of Sept 11, 1964. Rankin to Helms, re
permission to publish Army memo. 2 July 1964. re
statement made by an officer in the Joint Casualty
in Dallas, TX, on a sub. memo containing info.
by some info.

XAAZ-22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Helms memo on dis-
crepancy in Cuban govt reporting on LHC's passport
no. First entry correct, is, he passed through
Miami on DO92526. There is later ledger entry
showing it as DO92526. [This found to be in error.
reading. Under stress I recorded as 0]
See XAAZ-22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAAZ-22781 of 17 Sept 1964: Helms to Rankin
re Rankin A240E, & Cuban coast.
Loring

[NB: Arthur Darling interviewed by Bernard Fensterwald Jr,
14 Sept 1971 re Warren Commission Oswald case]

XAAZ-22795 of 21 Sept 1964. Rankin to Helms for
assistance in identifying Oswald's fellow workers in
Minsk. Attached Census. Exh. 2625

XAAZ-22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Revised version of info
provided in memorandum 3 Jan 21 June 1964 &
showing info relative to intell. methods & org. Request
was of 4 June 1964 revised

XAAZ-17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Arthur Darling on
results of visit to Commission & various FBI reports
referring to persons in Cuba. Names were targetable
& names of individuals, agreed, should be deleted.

CSCI-316/02545 of 15 Dec 1964 : re Allegation of unid.
accident of Cuban involvement in assassination
attempt. ^{at Houston} ~~held up~~ Cuban plane flight
afternoon of 22 Nov. Source, since deceased, lived
and out from a well known Cuban secret agent.
On 23 Nov. 63 he had forgotten to report.
Plane arrived 1700 hrs. from Dallas via
Tijuana and Mexico City. This suggests to an alibi.
[DBI rejected this account because of internal
inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1964 - DBA
9484) of that date]

XAAZ-23580 of 8 Feb 1965: Letter from Katz, author of
DBI concerning working of security controls
on CIA corresp. furnished to Pres. Commission

XAAZ-23581 D 27 Feb 1965: DBI's reply to the
security controls on CIA corresp. . Explain the
background and note how agreement
reached on each item. State that in no instance
was an for. work held from public domain
if it had a direct bearing on the assassination
(Reply prepared by Chubb)

CSCI-316/01398 of 3 April 1965 : forward copy of an
amk. letter to Sov. Emb. referring to LHO.
Named: U.S. R. DOBKINS.

↑
This man was investigated by
FBI in US & in Mexico -

XOA2 - 27167 of 22 June 1966.

RSA Chron of meetings with Warren Commission:

14 Jan (Mr. Roach to Mr. Miller, 64 AWD, Roach, IT.

12 March (Mr. Roach) '64

27 March 64 - Mr. Wilkins, Mr. Stone at all (from
briefing on case handling, working meeting
A).

26 May 1964 - Mr. Loeble (re Mr. Mohrman's call)

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Hanson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Goldberg)

25 Aug. ? (at Commission's meeting prep.)

Mr. Helms Chrons: 14 Jan (see above) 28 Jan
(at Roach's office), 12 March (Mr. Roach's office
with Murphy & RSA. 27 March 14:30 (see above)

24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (AWD, Roach,
Hanson, Helms, Murphy, Boyle)

NB: RSA named, p. 13 as one of the liaison officers
between Commission and other agencies, in Jay
Epstein's book Inquest (1966)

BD - 5277 of 4 Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : CA briefing

Re Commission's criticism of Warren Report (whose
discom is already active). This brings down
general lines pointing out: (2) no signif. new evidence
has emerged. (3) critics follow selective criticism
re details & arguments (4) conspiracy impossible in
this case by nature of circumstances. (5) Oswald as a
loner (6) Report not a research job. (7) The deaths
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.
Commission queried 418 witnesses, the FBI queried
25,000.)

14-00000
DDB-79914 of 28 Apr. 67: case of Enrique Guedes
Gonzalez. Mentak patient NYC, arrested by FBI
19 Nov 1963 confessed to be member of team 2
associated with the Kennedy. FBI says he was
never arrested nor interviewed. (See further
notes 28 Feb 1967 in El Tianguis (NY) which claims
Carter took out 1 team to US & built file.

X A42-27214 of 2 NOV 1967. Comparison of
 Oswald case with other American defections
to USSR and mentions relating to re-defections
and their work (144 56)

HMMT-10150 27 Feb 1970. Mexico City file on case

DST-18668 of 28 Aug 1969. Found State Department
of letters and a message. done by a State employee
Charles William Thomas in involvement of Cuban
reps. in conspiracy. (See Warren Commission Report
pp. 299-310, 63-59, 730-86). Copies sent by letter
also to FBI & Sec. State. Thomas still orig. means
of comm. with Mrs. Pary (nee Gans) on 10 Dec
1965. Claim Gans m. to Arthur Pary. She describes
as Mercant.

↑
This aspect of the case persisted

14-00000
Dec 5 - 18035 - 30 July 1905. 3 vols. Review vols of
materials prepared for national circulation re
release for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence

(201-334252)

@ Marcos

Pink & Grey Ant.. Ent.
Ent. Identifed etc).
Mrs. Loring?
as Dr. at home
June 62 and 1983.

2nd 3rd Int'l. Agent Ex. Presumably wife of
secretary in Embassy. Regs. for new "Committee
in Defense of the Cuban Revolution". Ex. for school
instructors

Reportedly worked under supervision of Governor
Cesar CROMBIE Montoto, Comm.-Councillor

NB: who is defector (1964) AMSTOKE/1?

OSTET-1 reported on 8 Nov 62 that Ensign
+2CVR in presence had told VESGAT Perry that
one witness of Unit. Cook. Hildebrand to
Accus that VESGAT was to handle such
cases as passport problems & identification
(A one had occurred & had been handled
by someone else.)

Relatively untested Jan 1963 on handling subv.
contracts. (AM Spence/2, from SYNOPSIS).

6 Jan - 13 Feb 1963 on leave in Hawaii

N13: as of 14 Nov 63 "fullest possible coverage" had been reg. by Hqs. on this individual. In Nov 63 Hqs. expressed being in Max Taint Exchange.

In Harris Special 2 Sept 63, Mex. embassy contains
05 of Cuban installations of planes - 14 in all.

Query: was it normal for a case like LTV's application to be handled by a local employee not an officer

described 21 Nov 1962 (UFA-12559) as in flux
in change of country & area. Return first
to me & Cuba.

Rodriguez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinate, Regalado) were in contact with two ^{Mexican} agents of group led by Arcadio Aguilar ^{group} which was plotting to kill General Anastasio Somoza (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for try. This confirmed by two sources.

Another De Officer: Miguel P. de 1st Lt Natl Police 54-60. Friend of Vega.

Vega acted as June 1964 as Intell. chief of DGI in Mexico City, releasing Alfredo MIRABEL in that capacity. He acted as chief of DGI then in August, 22 June 63.

Alfredo Norberto Diaz, consul, arrived Mexico 2 Sept 63. Held in contact. From Johnson Sec. Oct 63. Vega ref. Mexi 16 April 64 to release Regalado Rodriguez for investigation. Regalado left PC 25 April.

Random on Vega:

Am.	Mex	<	Har	2 Apr 62
Dep.	Mex	>	Har	6 Jan 63
Am.	Mex	<	Har	13 Feb 63
Dep.	Mex	>	Har	13 Apr 63
Am.	Mex	<	Har	21 Apr 63
Dep.	Mex	>	Har	3 Nov 63
Am.	Mex	<	Har	16 Apr 64 - as chief. 1961

NB: only with letter turned to Co

NB: This would make him about 45 as of 23 Nov 63. That he was there during LIT 63 stay 27 Oct - 2 Sept

All people against Cuba legal or alleged must first contact Subject. He to be being. date and pending it to Cuba for more checking. This more checking procedure normally takes 15 days


Went back to Har. from Mex. Nov 63, and was concerned to the Dep. of Natl. Int. of DGI as Nicaragua. He left Har. 11 April 1964. I left

Vago me fui de Nova York e retornar somente
1966. Mas adotei o trabalho de pesquisa sobre
a vida. OJSA, 14 April 1966. Depoimento de R. S.
27 June 1966. OJSA 66. Como eu fui bem expulso
do Patrimônio Histórico Nacional do Conselho Nacional
de Cultura em Cuba.

Vago me fui Nova York 29 May - 14 June 1967

Depoimento de R. S. sobre a vida de R. S. me fui

me fui me fui. Sobre a vida de R. S. me fui,
Honorário Costa José. Vida






1


0












2



Duplicate

2. Public criticism can be sustained against Hayden's and Co. concerning handling notices 27 Sept and 22 Nov:

- d. ... individual
the USSR ...
... evidence ...
... person ...
... reason to believe ...
... could not have ...

- 18) In its reply to Meigs on 11th, however, Hqs. gave Meigs a correct description of (Lithany) which was at close variance with the description of the unidentified individual and should have been sufficient, normally, to break any evidential connection between the two facts.

- 9) Kipper later, though asked by Hq. did not press or secure an identification or follow-up on LHO before the arrest of Hq. (i.e. locate where he had stayed, what he had done, when he had arrived or when he had left. NB: meeting did

How can he
give up for me?
acting the same
interested to be
promoted for it
27-10-1968

the largest audience on the Phil. representation on the Embassy (which was informed by the 10th Oct).

(4) Though requested by Mexico Station Sps. asked the Navy for a photo EHO., but did not permit and secure a photo before the event.

(6) Mexico Station did not match the 1st main intercept with its earlier intercept (of 17th and 18th Sept) until after the 22nd Nov. event, when it did so with clarity.

(7) Mexico Station did not match its 1st coverage of the unidentified individual with its coverage of the same individual on 4 Oct in front of the Soviet Embassy, nor on 15 Oct. in front of the Cuban Embassy until after 22/Nov.

(8) Despite the discrepancies in description Mex Station put the 1st photos into the chain of evidence on 22 Nov. 2200 hours. Ultimately the decision having been made by the Ambassador and the Division Chief (in effect, ^{not} being faced with a fait accompli. ^{things not fixed})

(9) No photo coverage was obtained at any time of EHO in Mexico city. The intro. of the unidentified individual - in good faith by COS - was inevitable and compromised the operations in the long run, though every effort was made to satisfy the requirements of the Commission and the security of the operations.

(10) Two members of Cuban emb. known to have dealt with EHO: Silvia Gomon and Conrado Aguirre. Both left & replaced on 21/11/55. named.

3. The thrust of Hq. instruction after the 22 Nov event was against the USSR report, rather than the Cuban. Coverage very early however, turned up at least one significant intercept:

(a) The basic Calderon conversation - afternoon 22 Nov. ^{is not} which seems not to have gone to the Commission. normally? - this must be checked

- and (b) the ^{two} Brothers - Princes Hermann by telephone calls on the — and — ,
- and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vlahovic -

4. Major coming after exam based on technical coverage and close utilization of liaison. The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Soviet & Cuban aware of the coverage visual & audio before 22nd?

(b) Did Sovs and/or Cubans have penetration in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated tending to show a larger degree of contact between UFD ^{at various points} than the substantive details of the intercepts?

(1) Case of Gileberts Alvarado Yagote - Nicaragua within 24 hrs. investigation protracted through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silva Simon. (Can hear later in May 1967) → statements to confid. source he trusted? If so there is also reason to review the Cuban Case de los details.) Simon was not subjected to a thorough checking examination based on what we knew or could surmise from the intercepts.

(3) Glorio Rojas Villanueva case (in broadcastings & Lognormal). This appears to have been rebutted.

- Handwritten signature: *John A. ...*

- ⑥ Case of Texas Contributions. to Am. Assoc. Young's
beginning in May 1947. relation to 444 alleged
contact with pro-Communist student groups at U.S.
Naval Academy attempted to secure this, request
for info concerning.

Dr. R. L. V. L. L.

5. Acknowledging fundamental aspects of life, which
man's life consists of:

- (2) He denied the usual mode ~~of~~ ^{such a thing} ~~being~~ ^{that} getting
safe to know what about it.

lets: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Soviet or Cuban or both, that must be beyond the transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Ent. Washington after return from Mexico.). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an illicit, developmental stage and that his effort to defect was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1959, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving (and self-determined) "deviation".)

NB: This allows for LHO having said nothing that was self-compromising in his dealings with Soviet and Cuban, including threats against the lives of prominent anti-Soviet and anti-Cuban individuals which he uttered the Soviets and Cuban were advised of after the event of 22 Nov, they knew.

6. What is the probative value - without factual collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas event - of Castro's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 as Brazilian Embassy receipts? Note that UPI article could suggest element of the AP statements without factual collateral its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated principally on a homicidal individual's self-love. Therefore Castro must mean a significant collateral weight for what is said about the active and degree of involvement of plotters against

Oliver, his alive and JFK is dead, was more evidence
an act of terrorism where it may have been a
conditioned response by a psychotic victim, for
"justifiable homicide". Or worse, to you now conduct
an investigation, ^{or could have been} over a gun or to suggest that
the assassination was indeed, a political assassination? again,
in the absence of factual collateral that goes beyond
what we do know, namely that it was the will
of JFK and Officer Tippett and that he did it alone.

Ask the same question of the Factor's observations * to his South P.O. interlocutor: what signif. (accidentally) can be attached to what he said? (Illustrated the remarks by some unkind & psych. insidg.)

In question of lack of supporting incidental isolated
also requiring that these were two distinct sources
unless come out immediately after the execution, one
Soviet (Wander) and the other Cuban (which is a
thing of varying composition ^{prolet} consisting of
both Soviet and Cuban intelligentsia & military services
off the book

7-NB: My Sp. for a brief space and opened it to tell Louisa
Hoxie, kindly, I wish to receive some of the seed that
you would be willing to present here, 1902.

7. There is every reason to be satisfied with the work of those upon the foreign language except that it is not finished. It is shifted about on left for ^{by the Editor} allegedly not concerned about the anti-Catholic who were doing, assuming they were. I do know I know the policy was the ideal society the bringing but not the language in the income directly, independent of the anti-Catholic plating. By not recognizing that the there is the Commission, headquartering a 1000 in 1901 was already against such a theory in the absence of suitable evidence to the contrary, which were to be done before the Commission the spirit of the Commission's mission.

(Note that C/WH specifically complained to C/WH for
fanning by his reporting flouted it, thereby creating
a foreign involvement.)

In fact at the working level in CIO — where the FBI's
 position was or is not known to me — it may well be that
 the ^{we} report did not ^{have more spirit and} could have left a larger opening
 for further accumulation of evidence relative to
 the foreign conspiracy aspect. ~~The~~ Mr. Miller was
 so advised by CIO Staff at the time. In any
 case they did a masterful job of measuring and
 stating one are talking here of degrees of complicity,
 because the Report properly read does leave
 the question of ultimate responsibility of CIO or
 a Singleton Miller open.

What did the Commission Staff find as to formation
the actions necessary to cover all aspects of the case and
to get them investigated - in this instance by the FBI

8. James Q. Smith developed strong apt. for assimilation
of possible first-hand or second-hand accounts of the
various conspiracies. There:

- (A) Inst. int. Defector M.H.M., inf. provided.
 (B) Bonds early 1967 - inf. provided in substance
 (C) Citizenship - within 10 and after 1967
 (D) Inst. 1967 - Director name - D. inst.
 at Boston 1968. Mental case.

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and dates, which appears to be a record of some kind. The names are written in a cursive script, and the dates are written in a more formal, printed style. The list is organized into two columns, with names on the left and dates on the right.

Longwing - 7.

2. It is contended that paper was ^{expensive} not purchased with fully defective, including its quality and design.

10. In general the forward cos. file shows a minimum input from Wave and no concern with the implications of Statement, leaving the Statement as

books in 7 Sept 1963. They: what was needed,
within all at highest levels to that platform?
This should be covered by J. King, J. King,
not necessarily and App. 1

11. The Bureau is not to be held responsible for the fact that we are unable to get a large number of the books in the collection of the Bureau put into the hands of the public.

17. 1. The first part of the document is a list of the names of the persons who were present at the meeting.

(2) *Siphonophora* - *Trematocystis* - *Tridacna* - *Lophosiphonia* - *Aequorea* - *Ephyra* - *Cyanea* - *Geryonia* - *Pelecyra* - *Physalia* - *Rhopilema* - *Sargassum* - *Velella* - *Zephyrura* - *Actinostoma* - *Alcyonium* - *Balanus* - *Caprellidae*. See also *Amphimedusa* - *Anthozoa* - *Hydra* - *Obelia* - *Porifera* - *Spongia* - *Synapta* - *Tunicata* - *Urozoa* - *Vertebrata* - *Xenopus*.

22- The presumption is that there would be no
to the future development of the site. On 11th of May
1959 when the house was built in the 1950s

② Die drei Fichte-Lektionen, 101, 102, 103, 104
mit der Erklärung der 101, 102, 103, 104

Die Jahreszahl 1877

Chlorophyll fluorescence

100

controlled him, requested a day, offered to turn
 over to the court for night, and relinquish to
 give him money. This was possible as I say when
 through friend of the prisoner, named, wearing a
 sign, showing name of child as child of F.P.C.
 On 12th there was a municipal court, where
 for which L.H. pleaded guilty, a point 11th, on 14th
 he was going out, bondable in some cases. Briggs
 was a friend of L.H. house on 16th, on 21 Aug. there
 was a local debate. He also requested a
 L.H. but is of his counsel to the American.
 (as also ready to discuss testimony)

F.P.C. is remarkable that never apparently present
 in the F.P.C. prosecution United attempted

(1) What does one people of the audience testimony,
 in the trial testimony, and the testimony, high-
 money

(2) What was the investigation of O's alleged anti-deter-
 activities in H.O. on behalf of the F.P.C.? How
 one except the idea he had own guidance or
 contacts. after he had begun to write to L.H.
 See Delgado testimony comes by Los Angeles from El Toro camp?
 What does a chronic account of his known
 int. & movement look like starting with the
killed & printed pseudonym dates?

(3) Report does not note the AP report by Harker, on
 9 Sept 1963, nor was testimony taken on this
 point, though the version that appeared in
Time Magazine must have been seen by him.
 It reflects main lines from p. 412 ff.

Notes

O-File - 289248 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under
the subject: OSWALD, Lee Henry. Referred to
USSR in October 1959.

Note that in memo TS to Roach: 13 Feb 1964 "Inform
developed by CIA on the activities of Lee Henry
Oswald in Mexico City, September 27 to Oct 3, 1963,
para 4, sub 3, are stated:

" 8. From all the evidence on hand we deduce
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963
and again sometime on 28 September 1963.
There is no evidence that he visited anyone other
than once on the days mentioned, but we
cannot exclude these possibilities. The only
informal source of our report, on pages four
and five, is based on the statement of
a Cuban source and means that Oswald was
at the Consulate at least once after his
initial visit, and this later visit was on
the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban
Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about
Oswald's visa problems. This day would
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be
certain of this conclusion. "

Wane Bureau reported by the USSR of 22 Nov 22422, that
AMT-NT 53 reported AMB 1514 delegate and radio the
sentence in Aug. 63 on the 15th. 1963. Summary of
AMB 1514 file, which is now in the files of the
22422.

Valeriy Vlad. KOSTIKOV is identified KGB operationally believing
to be a 13th hqpt operator. Being known to have worked
in matter of missing saboteurs. Believes of a few installations
on extremely non-clandestine matters known to be at the
time an accepted doctrinal point of view among GML.
NB: we passed to Hq. unind. (23 Nov) detail on Kotelov and
3 finished papers bearing on topic - 2 of these two were
concerned with Sov use of KGB & news. Ex. Int. (Aug 61)
& the other, Sov St. of Esp. Inter (Oct 61)

Dir 84915 D 25 Nov 63 2206Z distrib. to FBI only
the gist of intelligence info reported by Mexico "North
American" on 23rd after full file review

Report from Santiago Chile re: Nov 22 Chile. Last reactions
indicated unind. reaction that news. was "young man
CIA", Extreme right & segregationist to Chile. This
was change, Pedro Martinez, PIER. Same time he denied
that American was involved in 712°C laboratory that this
was only suspect of extreme rightist & segregationist
in Chile. (From Santiago 6153 IN 6714),
23 Nov-63)

NB: There was no listening recorder on Sov int. on 4 Oct.
(see Mexi 7023-IN 6714). This cable relates the North Amer.
82 the 28th calls to Oswald identified in cable of 1 Oct, but
indicated no voice comparison could be done "because
last time would prior receipt of second call"

It is clear from context that Hq. sent spec. to
Kotelov, afternoon 22nd carried 2 sets of six
photos each reference, to Unind. Ind. on 7/27
at 11:00 AM. It is clear that

14-00000

Merri provided full numbers of all probable calls beginning
the 7th in October & including the 3 October call by No. 7035
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67252).

Merri
Stewart

Edwin

29/4

According to C/Lt. 23 April 1975, the camera was down on '27 foot for some mechanical malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw worked personally on various & subsequent photo trays. Also certain that was completely explicable or indicated. Not a matter of human malfunctions & loading & unloading, either. Would attempt to bring elements of recall & consult them on this point. We would get in touch.

Query: Granted above, why did we not get C at the Cuba installations on 18th 20th?

- { - Custom Graduate - presented a paper. IR interest
 worked out well.
 { - at morning session - presented a paper - also well
 oriented. presented a paper. He also was
 doing other things. He had made his point.

=
 from coll. to about twice a week - collecting
 processed & steps presented. Some amount of
 my for just again. A separate, would be done in,
 at first.
 =
 from state of affairs

1. Not a station for
- from necessary - the same (p. 1)
- from Condygation

3. 1/2 hr. 2 hours 1/2
- 2 hr. 1/2 hr. 1/2 hr. 1/2 hr.
at 1/2 hr. 1/2 hr. 1/2 hr. 1/2 hr.

Appears that Mexico City station, ^{meeting its} ~~was in~~
~~position to do anything~~

limitations and capabilities had done
^{that}
all it could, ~~which is~~ in compliance with
headquarters instructions: there were no

further constraints by Oswald to report, ^{because}
^{it was ultimately essential,}
he had left Mexico City, ~~on 2 October~~
and, ^{under which} ~~on 2 October~~ photographs ^{it would}
^{be taken in a special effort}
have ~~been taken~~ ^{been taken} under a positive
identification of ^{several of Oswald's} ~~last~~
registration under alias.

Talk with Mr. Lee from

1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36917, ? Set, CASE 2 tri)
Actions to work.
2. Dis 74676 to Stat, 3-51-2 Mar. 20122
3. Dis 74830 to Mexi, ref. Mex 6453
22092.
4. Mexi 6534, 15 Oct 1953 to 6357
17302. (ref. for photo)

What is

111 Report in OP

Claimed J. Butler - Exe. Director of the
Migration Council of the Americas, New Orleans.
His testimony not taken from this man

Get (Sons) joined up by / for Ted to look at.

✓ Get copy of Census. Report report for
the Berlin & Forward via channels or
otherwise.

✓ Call home on non-family of Cuban
airline

✓ Call Gordie & tell him about 12³

✓ Get transcription copy of Hester & with -

I. C. ...
...
...
...
...

... ..

discussions with D. Phillips 28 April = it was
Cuban camera this was done. He does
not object to the use of the photo in any way
but would prefer freezing the background
containing clear photos of the Emb. Council &
anti-air Club.

- 28/4

White flowers, sometimes of double. Stems a robust
erect ones, which are dark green, the bottom
divided into 4-5 (often white). Inflorescence
terminal, corymbose.